Dec 19 1912

INQUIRY BARES \$25,000,000,000 **'MONEY TRUST'**

Three Chicago Banks Part of Clique Headed by J. P. Morgan & Co.

LINKS UP 18 CONCERNS

'King of Finance' Shows Will-Ingness to Testify Before Pujo Committee.

SHY ON BUSINESS DETAILS

BY IOHN CALLAN O'LAUGHLIN Washington, D. C., Dec. 18.-[Special.]-The "money trust" of the United States was bared to public inspection today by ovi-dence furnished to the Pujo investigating committee.

The "money trust" is composed of eighteen closely affiliated firms, national banks and trust companies, dominated by J. Pierand trust companies, or and the National City pont Morgan & Co. and the National City bank of New York, head of the Standard Oil banking system. Among the members of this trust are three Chicago banks, the Con-tinental and Commercial National, the First Sectional and the Illinois Trust and Savings National, and the Illinois Trust and Savings bank.

Banks in "Money Trust." Guaranty Trust comfany.....New York Bankers' Trust company.....New York Hanover National bank New York Astor Trust company......New York New York Trust company New York Speyer & Co.....New York

bankChicago First National bank.....Chicago bank lilinois Trust and Savings bank. . Chicago Kidder, Peabody & Có......Boston and New York Lee Higginson & Co.....

.....Boston and New York

Control Earnings of \$428,000,000.

Control Earnings of \$428,000,000. These eighteen institutions control banks, insurance companies, railroads, steamship lines, and producing and trading corpora-tions, with capital and resources in excess of \$25,000,000,000 and gross annual earnings of \$428,000,000. The control they enjoy is direct, with representatives of the eighteen firms and banking institutions, sitting vari-ously as directors of all the corporations they dominate and telling these corporations exactly what they are to do. The railroads controlled in this fashion have a combined mileage of 163.200 miles. The insurance companies are the largest in the nation. The banking institutions control

nation. The banking institutions control ast proportion of the money of the coun-and dominate credit to an even greater the nation. vast a try extent than is generally believed. The indus-trial and trading corporations are those which are rapidly assuming full control of which are rapid, both and the commerce of the republic.

Accountants Bare Big Pool.

The facts in relation to the "money trust" were developed today in the examination of expert accountants and by a carefully worked out chart revealing the combination of the eighteen institutions named through the interlocking of directorates and the contro of the great number of corporations thereby. 01

The control thus revealed is tremendous, but naturally it is only a part of the control exercised by this combination through its influence over smaller combinations of banking institutions and corporations, the r facations of which extend throughout whole business system of the nation. ramithe No whole business system of the nation. No such combination of wealth and power ever existed or could ever exist at any prior existed or could ever exist at any prior existed or could ever exist at any prior time in the history of the industrial world. **Calls J. P. Morgan to Stand.** When Samuel Untermyer, counsel of the bown through experts the

committee, had shown through experts the extraordinary situation of concentrated con-trol described, he called J. Pierpont Morgan to the witness stand and began his examination with respect to the combination of which he is the head and the manner in which in uses its tremendous power.

he is' the head and the manner in which it uses its tremendous power. Mr. Morgan's examination began just be-fore 8 o'clock in the afternoon, although h-had been waiting since 2 o'clock to be sworn. Mr. Untermyer deemed it advisable to c n-clude the revelations of the money combina-tion before he placed on the stand the mag-nate who is its dominating force. Mr. Mor-gan therefore was forced to wait and listen until the announcement of his name, when he was sworn. The financier was on the stand only twenty minutes and he will resan therefore was forced he was sworn. The financier was on the stand only twenty minutes and he will re-sume his evidence at 10:30 tomorrow morn-

It was a striking situation which developed when Mr. Morgan and Mr. Untermyer faced such other. If all reports be true, the latter integr for the financier. It is stated such other. If all reports be true, the latter has no liking for the financier. It is stated that Mr. Morgan on one occasion passed, a remark as to Mr. Untermyer's social aspira-tions which the lawyer never forgave. The opportunity today, which will continue to-morrow, is one an enemy of a witness would result in vel in

Mr. Untermyer, however, gave no display

of animosity in his questions, nor did Mr. Morgan by his attitude manifest any resentment. The latter appeared as one who felt that he was greatly wronged by being forced to appear before an investigation committee, but at the same time was prepared to answer precisely the questions put to him. He showed no disposition to fight the inquisitor, nor to do anything else than to answer the questions put to him as frankly as the circumstances would permit.

Lawyers, relatives, stenographers, and confidential secretaries who had accompanied Mr. Morgan to the hearing watched him with evident solicitude. The relatives, including his son, J. P. Morgan, and his daughter, Mrs ...erbert L. Satterlee, obviously were anxious as to the effect of the examination on Mr. Morgan's health. There is no question that Mr. Morgan is no longer robust, and a great deal of fear has been feit that the excitement might be injurious. The lawyers were alert and watchful to intervene in case of improper questions.

Morgan Center of Interest.

When Mr. Morgan took the witness chair he gianced about the room and saw himself the object of the scrutiny of the crowd in the room. Then he concentrated his attention upon Mr. Untermyer.

"What is your full name?" inquired Mr. Untermyer.

Mr. Morgan responded in a firm but somewhat husky voice and also gave New York City as his residence.

The next inquiry related to his business

and his partners. Mr. Morgan's' voice increased in volume as he made reply. He explained that his firm does a general banking business, receiving deposits and paying out money upon checks

"Only we do not clear." he added.

s firm is not a member of the clearing house, you mean?" inquired Mr. Untermyer. "Yes."

"You clear over your own counters?" asked

Mr. Untermyer. "We give checks on other banks and meet those checks over our own counters," Mr. Sorgan explained.

Four Concerns One and the Same. Mr. Morgan said the Philadelphia house of Drexel, Morgan & Co. and the New York house of J. Pierpont Morgan are exactly the same. The partners in one are all part-ners in the other.

" Only my desire to keep Mr. Drexei's name in the Philadelphia house prevents all the houses having the same name," said Mr. Morgan.

Mr. Morgan added that the New York and Philadelphia houses are themselves partners in the London and Paris houses, there heing local partners in both those institutions who are not the partners in the houses here. Mr. Morgan did not exactly remember how many partners compose th of J. Pierpont Morgan, nor the names of all of them, but said the stock exchange list would show. He was furnished with a list of the eleven partners in his banking house and read them as follows:

. P. Morgan,	A. A. Newbold,
[. P. Davison,	William H. Porter
y. P. Hamilton,	Charles Steele,
y. W. Lamont,	E. T. Stotesbury,
T. B. Lloyd	Temple Baldwin,
I. F. Lloyd,	Temple Balawin,

Delves Into Morgan Business.

With these preliminaries disposed of, Mr. Untermyer addressed himself to securing the information which Mr. Morgan can give if he will

"Do you accept deposits from corporations? he asked.

" Yes." . "Are these interstate corporations?" "We accept deposits from any corporations," said Mr. Morgan.

Pressed for a more definite answer. Mr. Morgan said he didenot understand the dis-tinction which Mr. Untermyer was insisting upon. The lawyer told him the difference between an interstate and an intrastate corporation, and Mr. Morgan said he accepted deposits from all corporations, some of them interstate.

Mr. Untermyer produced figures showing that in July J. Pierpont Morgan & Co. had as deposits, from interstate corporations \$68,113,315 and that in November the bank held deposits from seventy-eight corporaone appropring \$81.968.421.

Shy on Deposit Details.

Mr. Morgan said he had only a general knowledge of the accounts and asked that some other witness connected with the firm should be questioned respecting them.

' If the figures were furnished by the bank I will accept them as accurate," he added, He was told that the figures had been pre-

pared by the bank. From the same financial statement it was shown that the total capitalization of the corporations that together have nearly \$100,-

000,000 of money on deposit with the house of Morgan is \$9,765,000,000. Mr. Morgan said he would not want to give the names of persons who had made deposite with the bank, and Mr. Untermyer assured mm that it would not be necessary, as the information had been obtained from other sources. This also seemed to satisfy Mr.

He said he was anxious to set through because he wanted to leave for a trip abroad. The proceedings to this point were without any friction or the slightest indication of leeling on either side. One of Mr. Morgan's lawyers declared that

the examination went on as it was started there would be no trouble and that Mr. Morgan is prepared to answer every proper ques tion,

Mr. Morgan himself gave similar assurances to members of the committee, but said he must not be expected to remember details of all his business operations. These details, he said, could be furnished by those whose business it is to keep track of them

Bank Uses Corporation Money. The significance of Mr. Morgan's testimony is derived not so much from his actual state-ments as from the proof of conditions which nts admissions in them-

J. Pierpont Morgan & Co. control the two great trust companies, and control them vith a voting trust named by themselves. These five concerns have 118 directorates in thirty-four banks and trust companies. having total resources of \$2,679,000,000 and deposits of other people's money amounting to \$1,983,000,000.

The five institutions control thirty directors in the ten largest insurance companies with total assets of \$2,293,000,000.

Represented on Railway Boards. In thirty-two transportation systems the five institutions have 105 directors. The cap-italization of these systems is \$11,784,000,000,

and the mileage is 150,200 miles. The combination has 63 directors in twenty-four producing and trading corporations with a total capitalization of \$3,339,000,000. It has 25 directors in twelve public utilities corporations, with a capitalization of \$2,-

total capitalization of \$11,784,000,000 and a total mileage (excluding express companies and steamship lines) of 150,200. Bix y-three directors in twenty-four pro-

ducing and trading corporations having a total capitalization of \$3,339.000,000;

"Twenty-five directors in twelve public utility corporations having a total capitalization of \$2,150.000.000.

In all, 341 directors in 112 corporations having aggregate resources or capitalization of \$22.245.000,000.

Another Set of Relationships.

2. That J. P. Morgan & Co., the Guaranty Trust company, the Bankers' Trust company, and the First National bank together have: Eighty-nine directors in such banks and trust companies.

Twenty-nine directors in such insurance companies.

Seventy-eight directors in such transportation systems.

"Forty-nine directors in such producing and trading corporations.

"Sixteen directors in such public utility

corporations. " In all, 201 directors.

"S. That J. P. Morgan & Co., the Guaranty Trust company, and the Bankers' Trust com-

pany together have: "Seventy-eight directors in such banks

and trust companies. "Twenty-nine directors in such insurance

companies. "Sixty-four directors in such transporta-

tion systems. "Forty-four directors in such producing and trading corporations; and fourteen di-rectors in such public utility corporations. In all, 220 directors."

Directors in Common.

The table shows further " that J. P. Morgan & Co. and the Guaranty Trust company have three firm members or directors in common, Henry P. Davison, William H. Porter, and Thomas W. Lamont, and the tw first named, together with George F. Baker, a director of the First National bank, are voting trustees of the stock of such trust company. "That J. P. Morgan & Co. and the Bank-

ers' Trust company have three firm mem-bers of directors in common, Henry P. Davison, William H. Porter, and Thomas W. Lamont, and the first named and Daniel G. Reid are two of the three voting trustees of the stock of such trust company; George W. Perkins baving also been one of such voting trustees until he retired from the firm

of J. P. Morgan & Co. "That J. P. Morgan & Co. and the First National bank have three firm members or directors in common, namely, J. P. Morgan, Henry P. Davison, and Thomas W. Lamont.

Same Set of Names,

"That the First National bank and the Guaranty Trust company have three directors in common-namely: George F. Baker, Henry P. Davison, and Thomas W. Lamonttwo of whom, George F. Baker and Henry P. Davison, are voting trustees of stock of

such trust company. "That the First National bank and the Bankers' Trust company have five directors in common-namely; Henry P. Davison A. B. Hepburn, F. L. Hine, Thomas W. Lamont, and C. D. Norton-and the first named is a voting trustee of the stock of such trust com-

That of the nine directors of the Chase National bank five are also directors of the First National bank.

" That two members of J. P. Morgan & Co., three directors of the First National bank, twelve directors of the Guaranty Trust company, four directors of the Bankers' Trust company, and three directors of the National City bank are also directors of the National

Analysis, Bank by Bank,

Further statistics presented by Mr. Boudder relating to the ramifications of each of the eighteen banks were as follows:

P. Morgan & Co., sixty-three directors in thirty-nine corporations having total resources of capitalization of \$10,030,000,000. First National bank of New York, 103 da ectors in forty-nine corporations having total assets or capitalization of \$11,542,000,-000.

Guaranty Trust company, New York, 160 directors in seventy-six companies; total assets or capitalization, \$17,342,000,000.

Bankers' Trust company, New York, 113 dtrectors in fifty-six companies having total assets or capitalization of \$11,184,000,000. National City bank, New York, eighty-six directors in forty-seven corporations, having total assets or capitalization of \$18,205,000, 000

Kuhn, Loeb & Co., fifteen directors in twelve corporations with total assets and capitalization of \$8,011,000,000.

Bank of Commerce Figures.

National Bank of Commerce, New York,

seven directors in forty corporations with total assets and capitalization of \$11,527,-000.000.

Astor Trust company, New York, seventyfour directors in forty-seven corporations with total assets and capitalization of \$12,-400.000.000.

Spever & Co., New York, ten directors it en corporations having total assets or capitalization of \$2,448,000,000.

Lee, Higginson & Co., Boston, eleven directors in corporations having total resources capitalization of \$3,209,000,000.

Kidder, Peabody & Co., Boston, eight directors in six corporations having total resources or capitalization of \$2.395,000,000. [The directors of the Chicago banks who are directors of other corporations are listed elsewhere.]

Life Insurance Assets.

Tables purporting to show that four great life insurance companies, the New York. Equitable, Mutual Life, and Metropolitan, would have, at the present rate of increase, assets totaling \$4,318,000,000 in 1931, were presented to the committee by Mr. Scudder. On Dec. 31, 1911, said Mr. Scudder, the total assets of the four companies were \$2,188,000,-

000, divided as follows: Mutual Life 597,000,000 in 1016 as \$2,683,000,000; in 1921, \$3,228,000,-000; in 1926. 8.788.000.000; in 1931. \$4.818.000.-000. Mr. Scudder's tables purported to show that at the latter date under the rate of progress he figured upon the individual assets of the four companies would be:

New York\$1,501,000,000

Metropolitan 909,000,000 Their Average Deposits.

Mr. Scudder was asked by Mr. Untermyer whether he had investigated the amount of deposits carried by the life insurance companies in their principal banks, both at the

end of each year and throughout the year. Mr. Scudder gave the average deposits through the year 1911 for the New York Life as \$6,973,842, while the deposits on the last day of the year, Dec. 31, were only \$2,712,422.

During 1910, he said, the average of the New York Life's deposits was \$5,487,299. while on Dec. 81 the deposits were \$2,804,745. During 1009 the New York Life's average was \$7,071,049, while on Dec. 81 it was \$2,678, 402.

For the Mutual Life Mr. Soudder gave the following figures: 1911, average, \$5,511,000; Dec. 31, \$1,376,000; 1910, average, \$4,100,000; Dec. 31, \$2,717,000; 1909 average, \$7,012,000; Dec. 81, \$1,514,000.

For the Equitable Life Mr. Scudder's table of deposits showed: 1911, average, \$12,015,-000; Dec. 81, \$7,577,000; 1910, average, \$6,687,-000; Dec. 31, \$6,220,000; 1909, average, \$10,-242.000; Dec. 31, \$7,187.000.

For the Metropolitan Life: 1911, average, \$3,928,000; Dec. 81, \$8,578,000; 1910, average, \$4,238,000; Dec. 31, \$2,559,000; 1909, average, \$3,941,000; Dec. 31, \$3,646,000.

Would Amend Aldrich Law.

New York, Dec. 19.-John Harsen Rhoades, senior member of Rhoades & Co., bankers of this city, tonight before the Finance forum suggested an amendment to the Aldrich bill for currency reform whereby the governors the National Reserve bank or banks at Washington should be composed of seventeen men instead of the great number called for in the Aldrich plan.

Mr. Rhoades declared that the brains of the east and west should be merged and that a small number of leading men in the financial and industrial world could accomplish more in preventing panics than could a big board.

He suggested that the appointment of the board be placed in the hands of the president of the United States; that one-half be chosen from the eastern and one-half from the western section of the country. Bix of these, he said, should be practical bankers. six should be appointed from the industrial, commercial, farming, and other interests, and two should be academic students of banking.

These fourteen men, according to Mr. Rhoades' plan, should hold consultation with the secretary of the treasury, the secretary of commerce and labor, and the controller of the currency, who were to be ex-officio members of the board.

KEPT \$3,500,000 CASH IN BANK.

Appraisal of L. A. Heinsheimer Estate Shows Great Balance Held by New York Broker.

Albany, N. Y., Dec. 18.-The estate of Louis A. Heinsheimer of New York, who died three

tee and he has refused to answer. In behalf of Mr. Morgan is should be said that he has not run away from his responsibilities, but is facing them frankly. Statistics Given to Committee.

Gives Untermyer Quick Beply.

"Mr. Morgan," said Mr. Untermyer, "do you think it is right that these interstate corporations should deposit their money with a private bank?

"I do," said Mr. Morgan, with emphasis, It was the first question that had apparently roused something of the old fighting spirit.

' Would you make that statement as a rule to be applied with reference to all private banks and without regard for your own bank?" persisted Mr. Untermyer

Mr. Morgan admitted that possibly some private banks might not be desirable denositaries, but that as a general thing there was no reason why corporation funds should not be deposited in such institutions.

"This is a broad question," said Mr. Un-ermyer. "There is no supervision of these termyer. private banks. They are required to keep no reserve, while the corporations are public corporations, transacting the business of the public, and with their stock owned largely by the public."

Answer Covers Wide Range.

"The same objections advanced against private banks might be advanced against other banks," said Mr. Morgan. " Banks of small capital would be no more safe than private banks for large deposits."

As a matter of public policy," asked Mr. Untermeyer, "do you think interstate cor-porations owned chiefly by the public should deposit with private banks?"

It is a question that should be left ertirely to their directors," said Mr. Morgan. He was next questioned respecting the interstate commerce corporations for which J. Pierpont Morgan & Co. act as fiscal agents. and while he was willing to say that there age a number of them he pleaded that he could not remember the details.

You remember that you act as the fiscal agents of the New York, New Haven, and Hartford and the New York Central railroads, do you not? " asked Mr. Untermeyer. O, yes. I remember that," said Mr. Morgan, cheerfully.

Issues Securities of Big Roads.

"You also issue all the securities for these two railroads?

Mr. Morgan thought there was an agreement of that kind, but that the banking hous had to issue these securities upon terms also -agreed upon.

" Have you not the right to issue all securities for these two railroads? " asked Mr. Untermeyer.

"Not unless we agree upon terms," said Mr. Morgan.

At this point the committee took a recess announcing that Mr. Morgan would he al lewed to complete his testimony tomorrow.

seives.

The seventy-eight interstate corporations which had on deposit with J. Pierpont Mor-man, Nov. 1, more than \$81.000,000, an average of considerably more than \$1.000.000 from each corporation, are the corporations which Morgan and affiliated interests control, and the money of which they use for their own Durpose.

Mr. Morgan insisted that corr should be guided in all things by insisted that corporations rectors, and the testimony of experts shows that the directors are controlled by the banking combination of which Mr. Morgan is the

Five Banks in Real Control.

It will be shown in testimony yet to come that while the experts who have made 30 complete a showing of the tremendous control exercised by eighteen firms and banks closely combined with the Morgan and Rockefeller interests, the heart as well as the head of the whole combination consists of five concerns only.

These are J. Pierpont Morgan & Co., the First National bank of New York, the Na-tional City bank of New York, the Guarantee Trust company, and the Bankers' Trust

The First National, the National City, and

120,000,000, making a total for the five institutions of 241 directors in 112 of the great-est corporations in the country, with aggregate resources of capital of \$22,245,000,-000

This is one-fifth of all the estimated wealth of the United States.

From this showing it is suggested that all the other firms and banks mentioned in the statistics of the experts are but adjuncts of this central combination.

Mr. Morgan himself is the first witness called by the committee to testify regarding the combination. He came when summoned, readily and promptly. He was here before the committee actually wanted him, and he manifested a degree of willingness to talk which surprised the committee.

In this his conduct is in marked contrast to that of William Rockefeller, the Standard Oll head of the National City bank, who has evaded the process servers ever since last June. Sergeant at Arms Riddell of the house appeared before the committee today and re-

New York, Chicago, and Boston, the list having been given in the earlier part of this dispatch. The charts show that in all directors of these institutions hold 746 directorships in 134 corporations having a total resources or capitalization of \$25,325,000,000.

Links Up the Big Five.

ported that he had been unable to serve

Telegrams have been sent him asking him to express either his willingness or his un-

willingness to communicate with the commit

Prior to Lir, Morgan's examination today

the committee heard the testimony bearing

on the so-called concentration of money and

credits. This was presented in the form of

charts prepared by Philip J. Scudder, which

dealt with the affiliation of 180 directors in

the eighteen banks and trust companies in

This explanation showed that the charts

summons upon Mr. Rockefeller.

was placed in the record.

An explanation of the chart relating to Morgan & Co., the First National bank, the National City bank, the Guaranty Trust company, and the Bankers' Trust company **88.**Y6

"1. The table shows that J. P. Morgan & Co., the First National bank, the National City bank, the Guaranty Trust company, and the Bankers' Trust company together have:

"One hundred and eighteen directors in thirty-four banks and trust companies have ing total resources of \$2,679,000,000 and total deposits of \$1,983,000,000,

Thirty directors in ten insurance companles having total assets of \$2.293,000,00

"One hundred and five directors in thirwy-two transportation systems having a

149 directors in sixty-two companies having total assets and capitalization of \$18,165,-000,000.

Hanover National bank, New York, thirtyseven directors in twenty-nine corporations with total assets and capitalization of \$7.495.-000,000.

Chase National bank. New York, sixty-

years ago has been appraised by the state controller's office at \$14,600,060. An unusual feature of the appraisal is that it shows Mr Heinsheimer had a bank balance of \$3,500,000 in cash. Mr. Heinsheimer spent his life in Wall street, rising from messenger boy to membership in the firm of Kuhn, Loeb & Co

He was 48 years old.